

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,965	12/27/2000	Marc W. Cantell	BU-99-022B	7066
29505	7590 03/28/2003			
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC			EXAMINER	
	EY AVENUE N, CT 06510		MOORE, KARLA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	1/
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

٠			10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- 1(&gt;</del>
Advisory Action	09/748,965 CANTELL ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	Karla Moore	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica at timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply the places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	<b>S</b> .
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): <u>12-20 and 22-23</u> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>12-20,22 and 23</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10,21 and 24-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	•
10. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner agrees with Applicant's argument that the prior art applied does not teach or fairly suggest "said chamber comprises a plurlaity of interior chambers" as recited in dependent claims 12-20 and 22-23. Therefore, the current rejections are not appropriate and have been lifted. However, no argument has been made regarding why the claimed invention represented by claims 10, 21 and 24-30 is not obvious over the cited art as detailed in the previous office action. Nor, can the Examiner find any such reason. Therefore, these claims continue to stand rejected.

BENJAMIN L. UTECH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CONTENTS 1700